IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 3080 of 2005

Between:

1 V. Madhava Rao, S/o. Pundarikashudu,
R/o. Flat No.004, Block No.3, Divyashakthi Apartments, 7-1-58,
Ameerpet, Hyderabad.
2 Ch. Nirmala, W/o. C. Siddartha,
R/o. Flat No.004, Block No.3, Divyashakthi Apartments, 7-1-58,
Ameerpet, Hyderabad.
3 V. Swarupa Rani W/o. V. Venkata Rao
R/o. Flat No.004, Block No.3, Divyashakthi Apartments, 7-1-58,
Ameerpet, Hyderabad.
4 V. Ram Prasad S/o. Venkata Rao,
R/o. Flat No.004, Block No.3, Divyashakthi Apartments, 7-1-58,
Ameerpet, Hyderabad.
5 V. Rajesh S/o. Venkata Rao,
R/o. Flat No.004, Block No.3, Divyashakthi Apartments, 7-1-58,
Ameerpet, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Zonal Manager, A.P.I.I.D.C., Vijayawada.
2 The Land Acquisition Officer, Sub-Collector, Vijayawada.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to to issue order or orders or writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the representation dt. 29-1-2005 with regard to petitioners land Ac. 15.59 situated in Survey No.60/2, Kanuru Village, Vijayawada and not clarifying the points raised therein as illegal, arbitrary and consequentially direct the Ist respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass such other order or orders as this

Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of Justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.M.L.ALI

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

For not considering the representation of the petitioners dated 29-01-2005 with regard to their land Ac.15.59 cents situated in Survey No.602, of Kanuru village, Vijayawada, the present writ petition is filed.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners contended that as per the consent award passed by the respondents dated 23-05-1996, the petitioners' land was shown as acquired by the Government and hence they filed an application before the respondents to clarify whether their land was acquired in pursuance of the notification or not. But the respondents are not taking any action as per the records available with them to clarify whether the petitioners' land was acquired in pursuance of the notification or not.

Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to take appropriate decision and pass orders in accordance with the rules and regulations by considering the representation of the petitioners dated 29-01-2005, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

_____

22-02-2005

Note:

Copy in two days.

(B/O)

RNS

To

1 The Zonal Manager, A.P.I.I.D.C., Vijayawada.

2 The Land Acquisition Officer, Sub-Collector, Vijayawada.

3 Two CCs to G.P.for Land Acquisition,

High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.(OUT)

4 Two CD copies